

**Monday, April 11, 2022 6:00 PM following Board of Appeals Mtg  
CITY OF MINNETONKA BEACH  
CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES**

**Roll Call:** Mayor Jaci Lindstrom; Council Members: Tracey Breazeale, Susan Enlow, Steve Howarth, and Vibhu Sharma. Absent: Treasurer Chris Zinn

**Staff Present:** City Administrator Heidi Honey, City Clerk Jane Burgess, and Public Works Superintendent Jason Hilgers,

**Guests:** Civic Committee President Carrie Pinto and Vice President Bridget Wortman

**1. Mayor Lindstrom called the meeting to order at 6:49 p.m.**

**2. Approve Agenda for the April 11, 2022 City Council Meeting.**

The agenda was amended as follows: Item #4 which was Open Forum is now Guests, Item #5 which was Guests is now New Business, Item #6 which was Old Business is now Finance, Item #7 which was New Business is now Open Forum, Item #8 which was Finance is now Old Business.

**Howarth motion, Enlow second to approve the amended agenda. All ayes, the motion carried.**

**3. Approve Minutes**

3.1. Regular Meeting Minutes of March 14, 2022

**Enlow motion, Breazeale second to approve the March 14, 2022 Regular City Council meeting minutes. All ayes, the motion carried.**

**4. Guests**

4.1 Civic Committee Annual Report – President Carrie Pinto and Vice President Bridget Wortman of the Civic Committee

Pinto and Wortman presented their summary of 2021 and what Civic has planned for 2022. Wortman stated that 2021 was a unique year due to no in-person City meetings due to the continuation of COVID and most Civic events were cancelled. Pinto added they were able to have the Spooky Walk, the annual skating party on February 6, 2022, and held their first Civic meeting on April 13<sup>th</sup>. They announced that the Newcomers party will be at the Harris/Kaplan residence on Sunday, June 5<sup>th</sup>. The Summer Picnic is scheduled for Sunday, July 17<sup>th</sup>, National Night Out is August 2<sup>nd</sup>, and the Progressive Dinner will be September 17<sup>th</sup>. They have a lot of volunteer homes for the Progressive Dinner and the schedule will be determined soon.

Lindstrom asked how the resident dues drive is going. Wortman stated that since the 2021 dues letter was sent to residents late, in August of 2021, and they didn't have many events, they have money to cover the events for 2022. Lindstrom also asked how the Civic Committee membership is due to the COVID pandemic and resident turnover. Wortman stated that she didn't think they've lost members as some new residents have been added. Pinto confirmed that they have 39 members on the email list.

Lindstrom added that July is National Parks Month and the scheduled Civic events during that time including the summer picnic, Panait's Soccer/Food Truck events and National Night Out will tie in with that theme. The City will have an official proclamation and perhaps a banner for Minnetonka Beach

celebrating National Parks Month. Lindstrom also thanked Wortman and Pinto and all of Civic for all the work they do for the City.

## 5. New Business

### 5.1 MS4 Permit Proposal

Honey presented Stantec's proposal for MPCA MS4 Permit Implementation Engineering Services. The MPCA issued an updated General Permit on November 16, 2020, and the City was issued permit coverage on October 15, 2021. New permit requirements must be in place 12 months after the MPCA issued the City coverage. Honey added that the City must implement any new requirement by October 15, 2022. Stantec provided scope and cost for them to assist the City with implementing the required items of the M4 General Permit. She stated that last year Council approved the not to exceed \$4,000 Stantec proposal, but it wasn't implemented yet, so this proposal is basically the same thing that was already approved but now the timing is best to do it all together. This is one component of the City's SWPPP requirement along with stormwater prevention, and that report is due June 30, 2022. Honey felt that a new motion should take place at this meeting since the money was not spent last year and this is a new Stantec proposal.

**Howarth motion, Sharma second to approve the Stantec Proposal to Heidi Honey dated April 7, 2022 for Engineering Services for the MPCA MS4 Permit Implementation not to exceed \$4,000. All ayes, motion carried.**

## 6. Finance

### 6.1 Summary Report of City Finances

Honey presented Zinn's Dashboard. She pointed out some invoices that were larger including payment to the City of Orono at \$28,845 as it was for two months of police services, and the Ferguson Waterworks invoice for water meters paid for by CIP and annual maintenance at \$10,050. This invoice to Quality Flow Systems was for maintenance and inspection. ARPA funds paid for repair of two lift stations in 2021.

### 6.2 YTD Budget to Actual and Prior Year Reports

Honey stated that she is tracking legal and engineering expenses throughout the year to not go overbudget.

### 6.3 Capital Improvement Program Schedule and Process for 2023 – 2032 CIP

Honey stated that the City is starting the CIP and Capital Budget. At the Planning Commission meeting on April 25, 2022, they will appoint their representative and begin the annual process of collecting input for the CIP and approve the 2023 – 2032 CIP schedule. She will send out requests to the various committees and commissions for what they want to submit and expects the input to be returned to her by mid-June.

The CIP is a major planning tool that not only forms the basis for Capital Budgeting and long term financial planning, but it also helps keep the public informed of future needs. The Planning Commission is tasked with overseeing this process because the CIP should conform to the Comprehensive Plan and the Capital Asset Financing Policy. Past practice is to collect input from the Mayor, individual City Council members and Treasurer, City Committees and Commissions, and City staff using the Capital Planning Worksheet to indicate what capital improvements are needed in the community over the next 10 years. Individual council members are being asked to fill out the 2023 – 2032 Capital Improvement Program worksheet and return it to City Hall if they have suggestions for the CIP.

Honey added that the Capital Planning Review Committee (CPRC) consisting of chairs of the Planning Commission, Finance Committee, Utilities Commission, Park Commission and key staff/consultants will meet June 15<sup>th</sup> at 6:00 pm to review and evaluate the requests and make recommendations to the Planning Commission on the final draft. This provides an opportunity for committees and staff to more thoroughly discuss the proposals and rate the items for the preliminary plan prior to the public hearing that the Planning Commission will hold on June 27, 2022 before making their final recommendation to the City Council.

After public comments, Honey stated that the Planning Commission will then make a recommendation to City Council at their July Council meeting for final plan adoption. The 2023 portion of the CIP will form the basis for the 2023 Capital Improvement Program budget. This process is consistent with the past few years.

**7. Open Forum opened at 7:06 pm and closed at 7:07 pm.**

**8. Old Business**

8.1 Consideration of Amendment and Public Input: City Code Section 513 Regarding the Operation and Use of Recreational Vehicles

Lindstrom introduced the item for discussion, stated there will be public input, and noted that Councilman Sharma has been working on this issue and will provide an executive summary on his work.

Sharma presented his research and noted the history that led to this item on the agenda. He has heard a lot of input from various people about golf carts and other types of motorized vehicles and their use by some City residents. He noted that there have been a number of complaints of misuse in the City park system. There are safety concerns with traffic and crossing Co. Rd. 15 and damage at the Lafayette Club.

The State of MN does not allow golf carts and ATVs on city streets or state highways. Orono PD would prefer them to not be allowed in the City. Minnetonka Beach is trying to have a middle ground that works for people who utilize them and also follow requirements for a city to allow them. If a city permits and regulates these types of motorized vehicles if they comply with certain parts of the state statues. then they can be allowed. Sharma and Honey reviewed the state requirements for allowing these vehicles, the current City ordinance, which is not in line with state statute, and also other city's regulations on them. He stated that Minnetonka Beach is a lake city, not a golf community.

Sharma added that what is being proposed is an amended set of ordinances that are consistent with what the state says must be done to allow this to permit these motorized vehicles. This is what is being presented and the City attorney also reviewed the ordinance language to ensure legal compliance.

Sharma stated that he is personally concerned about safety, and he has encountered pocket motorcycles being driven by pre-teens and they are not seen by cars. He added that it is incumbent on council to ensure statutes are compliant with state statutes.

Lindstrom asked for public input at 6:26 pm.

Carrie Pinto of 2422 Lafayette Rd.

She asked what is being proposed regarding golf carts and electric scooters and if there are state regulations on electric scooters. Their daughter is part of the scooter squad and she is legal on it. She said that it is parents' job to keep their kids safe. She said they don't have a golf cart. She said this is overreaching and with the tree moratorium there is too much City involvement.

Jennifer Halverson of 2512 Shoreline Drive

She stated that she understands the rules and they have had a golf cart for six years equipped with blinkers and seat belts. They drive it to get to their dock at the Swim Beach crossing Co. Rd 15. Because parking is limited, many residents feel golf carts are a better way to get around as you can park in a yard. She doesn't understand why lights would be required if they can't be driven after sunset so that is excessive. She stated that after-market seat belt installation is risky. Those who have chosen to not follow the rules in our City have ruined it for the rest of them. She said parents need to parent more. She agrees there needs to be rules but she is concerned about how many there are and how strict they would be. She would have liked the golf cart owners to be asked for their input. It would have been nice to have been considered and there needs to be better education. Instead of people sending pictures to City Hall and complaining, they should speak to their neighbors. The kids have been through a lot in the last two years and those who break the rules on golf carts will be doing something else wrong tomorrow. Driving golf carts is a fun means to be social and she doesn't want to see that end.

Julia Sharma 2505 Lafayette Rd.

She has a bird's eye view of Half Moon Park and the trail up to Ray Peters Park and regularly sees teen and pre-teen drivers of golf carts in the park destroying the restored turf from the new playground and other Park Commission efforts. She sees parents driving golf carts in the park to the playground. The City's trail system is a pedestrian only trail system She sees snowmobiles driving around the boulders put in place to block them from going on park and public land. Parents are not communicating to their children what the rules are. Often the drivers of motorized vehicles are not paying attention and Sharma's experienced a little motorcycle driver not paying attention, distracted by his passengers, and in the wrong lane heading directly for Sharma's car. She favors updating City ordinances regarding recreational vehicles and protecting City parks and trails from them.

Bridget Wortman of 2428 Lafayette Rd.

She does not feel that motorized scooters and other vehicles are more dangerous than bike riding and walking dogs. It's a great way for kids to go down the streets and see their friends. That would be a travesty if they can't do this. She stated that she hopes this doesn't keep kids from riding scooters. She sees no motorized vehicles on the trails and said that since kids can drive cars and boats beginning at 15, jet skis at 14, they should be able to drive golf carts. Trucks and cars in the area drive so fast and this ordinance amendment is over-governing.

Joe Pagano of 3101 Old County Rd.

He submitted an input letter from Jeri and him, but also stated that the ordinance needs to be updated and residents educated. He spent a lot of time over the last six years in City parks digging and raking as a park commissioner and sees motorized vehicles driving in the parks all the time. He said that the 20 or so owners of motorized vehicles and all residents should give input and work with council and together do a proposal, pledge, or an agreement of sorts so that the residents are involved in crafting the solution. They should come back with a basic premise of an agreement and discuss the proposal and not take another year and a half to do so. There is a concern about damage done to the parks and motorized vehicles are prohibited on parks and trails. He agrees with Halverson that this is a parenting issue.

Gianna Colombo of 2201 Huntington Pt Rd E.

She stated they moved to this Village as there is freedom, fun and celebrating. They don't have a golf cart or snowmobile and she doesn't want regulation for recreational motor vehicles. If kids misbehave, then tell them and they should repair the damage. Instead of going to City Council and taxing the residents, speak to the violators and their parents and have the kids be accountable. It is not fair to Public Works to have to repair damage. Regulation is never the answer. This is a parental issues and kids should be held accountable. Deregulation and freedom are most important to her she stated.

Lindstrom closed the Public Input session at 7:34 pm.

- Council Discussion:

Howarth stated that residents gave good input for and against additional regulation. He thinks a public hearing is needed to hear what all residents of Minnetonka Beach think and stated there was partial input from council and two citizen letters. He suggested residents may need more preparation time to understand what is being proposed. The overriding issue was that the city is not in compliance with state statute and needs to do so. He added that the City should not be overreaching, and he does not know if the proposed ordinance amendment is minimum regulations for compliance with state statute or minimum plus.

Sharma talked about not allowing them as state statute does not. He stated that they decided not to take that approach and are trying to apply state statute for compliance. The proposed ordinance is trying to apply state statute.

Honey explained that double underlined language was added by the City attorney – definition of golf cart, equipment requirement. The City is determining the regulations and can be more restrictive. The City can determine an age of driver. The proposed ordinance can be amended but must still be in compliance. There was discussion about age 16 as the minimum instead of 18. The State doesn't dictate an age because they don't allow them.

Lindstrom stated they are saying that they are trying to allow them in the City.

Sharma described that there are many vehicles mentioned and council can decide what they want to regulate. He then commented on Howarth's request for additional input saying that the proposed ordinance was posted for 10 days, the meeting was noticed and it was in 2 weeks' of emails blasts, and they received input from quite a few residents so he did not think it was necessary.

Breazeale stated she thinks there are too many vehicles grouped together and that regulations for "toys" are different than other vehicles for age, etc. and they don't need to regulate toys. She asked what they have to do for compliance and felt it was unclear. Is it age, equipment requirements, day/night operation? If not allowed at night, then don't require lights, if they are allowed at night then require lights. She discussed a balance on being safe, protecting parks but be able to enforce – especially concerned about enforcing toys. If permitted, are there constraints by the state or can the city determine all the constraints?

Sharma stated they can remove vehicles from the ordinance and then the state will regulate those. He agrees with changing the proposed age from 18 to 16 and allowing operation at day or night if appropriately equipped. He said the spirit of this is how to make it work and ensure it is the proper way to allow them.

Council discussed that the state doesn't allow the recreational vehicles, but the City can choose to allow them but then they must be in the City ordinance.

M. Pinto of 2422 Lafayette Rd. stated that he did not understand that the state still has requirements for cities when cities chose to allow these vehicles. He asked what state mandated golf cart rules the City must adhere to.

Honey replied that golf carts are required to have a local permit, insurance, and there are some limitations on operating hours (can check what those are specifically). Required equipment is slow moving vehicle sign and a rear-view mirror.

Howarth asked if seatbelts and turn signals are required.

Honey stated the state does not require seatbelts, lights and turn signals because they are driven on golf courses where you would not need them, but the City can choose to require them.

Enlow stated the proposed ordinance is overreaching. They are trying to manage golf carts as there has been rogue activity mostly by kids who have been rude and driving dangerously. She suggested they take another month and determine what should apply and what should not apply. She added that if equipment is required at night and if you drive at night then you should be properly equipped. She added they should decide how far into the toy category they should go. She thinks communication is huge and suggested asking Civic to help communicate what they are trying to accomplish. She also thinks that there is a requirement in the city that motorized vehicles cannot be driven on the trail.

Lindstrom stated this was a good discussion and she thinks the burden is on the applicant and how will City Hall get people to register the vehicles and comply. The solution is challenging and is a mix of community education and dealing with violators directly with firmness and respect. She is hearing that this should be continued and maybe look at creating a mix of golf cart owners task force that looks at the language and include owners and they can say what is practical and circle back to council.

Sharma stated that if they don't think it is enforceable, they should just eliminate it and not allow them.

Breazeale stated if there are reasonable guidelines in place it allows for communication to go out. If someone sees a golf cart without a permit a resident should reach out and explain they are required. The ordinance doesn't need to be too detailed and should be broad.

Enlow stated that it does not make sense to eliminate golf cart use in the City. They know there are golf carts on the streets and not having an ordinance and allowing them to drive on the street, they are sanctioning the behavior. It is better to regulate the behavior in a reasonable way.

Howarth stated that enforceability is not the only reason to not allow them. It is not the spirit of what they intended to do here, which is safe operation of them, not NOT allowing them. He stated they need public input from the entire community. He did not know if a task force would give the balance of for and against and thinks just a public hearing would suffice.

Lindstrom stated that she is hearing that the consensus is that they want to do the ordinance, define recreational vehicles and regulations.

Enlow stated that she would like input from the community whether recreational vehicles should include more than golf carts, ATV's, and other vehicles with multiple passengers.

Lindstrom stated that they got input already.

Enlow asked for specific input and asked that 2-3 owners to sit down, read the draft ordinance and provide specific feedback.

Lindstrom stated that she was hoping that is what the task force would do and they would go through every requirement and see how they feel about it.

Sharma stated there is a thematic input and he and Honey could take this feedback into consideration and come back with an updated version. Anyone who wants to sit down and discuss can. All council were amenable.

Breazeale stated that at Civic, she will summarize discussion and input and may ask for a couple of people to reach out to Sharma if they want to provide input to also be considered with an updated ordinance.

Howarth asked if they can have public input session again at the next meeting with revised version.

Lindstrom stated that they can have another public input session at the May 9<sup>th</sup> meeting, and it will be put in the Beachcomber, SPLASH eblasts and on website with version 2.

**Howarth Motion, Sharma second to continue the discussion of Consideration of Amendment and Public Input: City Code Section 513 Regarding the operation and Use of Recreational Vehicles to the next City Council meeting on May 9, 2022. All ayes, motion carried.**

Recess at 8:15 pm. Reconvene at 8:22 pm.

## 8.2 Planning Commission 2022 Project Priority List

At the March 14<sup>th</sup> City Council meeting, Enforcement was removed from the Planning list for Honey and City Attorney Batty to work on. The four remaining Planning Commission proposed projects were ranked. Council asked that Zoning Administrator Phil Carlson and City Attorney Batty provide Council with proposals for their portion of the work on these projects. Batty was unable to provide estimated costs because he does not know the extent of any of these proposed amendments and the amount of research or code change that would be required. Honey estimated that his costs would be about \$5,000. Council reviewed the ranking results from the March meeting as well as the proposals submitted by Phil Carlson.

Honey reviewed legal expenses from a few recent projects to help determine a possible range. Through March 31, 2022, legal expenses were:

1. Noise ordinance amendment - \$1,310 - complete
2. ADU/DNR compliance and moratorium - \$3,978 – incomplete
3. Tree Preservation ordinance - \$4,926 (2021 charges) - incomplete
4. Recreational Vehicle/Golf Cart - \$932.00 – incomplete

Lindstrom stated that she reviewed the financial aspects and ranked the priority list exactly the same as she did previously. She asked Council to give their rankings at this meeting. They discussed all of them and gave their final opinions. Lindstrom has at #1 Code/DNR. All agreed. Lindstrom has at #2 Grading and Filling Yard. All agreed. Lindstrom has at #3 Unused Street ROW. All Agreed. Lindstrom has at #4 Landscape Ordinance. All agreed.

Planning Commission Chair Jeff Breazeale said that due to budget constraints they will work on just #1 Code/DNR. Lindstrom told J. Breazeale to name a Planning Commissioner to the task force.

**Howarth motion Breazeale second to approve the ranking of projects as outlined in the April 11, 2022 Memorandum by Administrator Honey Item 6.2 Project Priority List Referred to Planning Commission. All ayes motion carried.**

## **9. Consent Agenda**

- 9.1. Police Report – March 2022
- 9.2. Fire Report – March 2022
- 9.3. **Resolution 2022 – 19** - 2022 City Dock Applications
- 9.4. **Resolution 2022 – 20** - 2022 City Dock Variances
- 9.5. **Resolution 2022 – 18** Amended – Appointment Curt Holt to Park commission
- 9.6. The Garden Gate – Gardening Contract
- 9.7. Bartlett Tree Service – Crabapple Treatment Contract
- 9.8. SafeAssure Contract – Safety Program
- 9.9. Tree Removal Request – 2438 Lafayette Rd - Lewis
- 9.10. Approve Checks: March 2022

**Howarth motion, Breazeale second to approve the Consent Agenda. All ayes, the motion carried.**

## **10. Staff Reports -**

### **10.1. Council Meeting Action Notes**

Lindstrom stated that sometime in May the City will find out if it will be a recipient of funding for the Water Treatment Plant. She reminded council about the Tree Preservation Ordinance Task Force Public Information Session on May 3, 2022, at 7:00 pm. Lindstrom added the 6:00 pm City Council Meeting on May 9, 2022. She also added under Referrals the Planning Commission Project List. She added Senator Ann Stewart Johnson's tour of the Water Treatment Plant on April 18, 2022. Enlow requested that new items in Action Notes be in red.

### **10.2. Administrator's Report**

Honey stated that she can't believe that she is starting to see annual things that it seems like she just completed. Some highlights she stated that she has been working on include: Workers comp insurance renewal, Golf cart ordinance, Tree ordinance, Invoices – Stantec was behind so she invoiced end of December, January and February. She added that there is approximately \$4,000 outstanding right now of \$20,000 invoiced. Honey stated that there have been lots of building projects and questions including questions about work by the lake and requiring surveys and trying to be consistent. She followed up with Bonnie at Abdo Solutions on audit and reporting, and disclosure documents.

### **10.3. Clerk's Report – in packet**

### **10.4. Public Works Superintendent's Report – in packet**

## **11. Council Reports**

### **11.1. Mayor's Report**

Lindstrom stated that Senator Ann Stewart Johnson, member of Capitol Investment Committee, is scheduled to tour the City water treatment plant on April 18, 2022. Lindstrom is working with Johnson for support from her as well as the Capital Investment Committee. Lindstrom provided an update on the Dock 10 lawsuit and stated that the summary judgement went through the District Court. The 60 day appeal is currently in place and this is the last time Schussler can appeal. She added that the city incurred \$1500 in legal costs in the first quarter of 2022. She added that if Schussler wins then the City will appeal. This case is not over. Lindstrom added that City Hall is very busy.

### **11.2. Liaison Reports**

Enlow – She stated that she attended the Future Fire Services Planning meeting and reported that Loretto and Hamel are merging their departments. As of December 31, 2025, Orono is ending their contract with the Long Lake Fire Department and starting their own. Five cities and four fire departments including



Wayzata meet in this group that meets bi-monthly. Initiatives include shared equipment and the purchase of a \$1.6 million ladder truck which is needed. The cost of this ladder truck is based on residents so the City's share would be \$13,600 based on 500 residents and it's a one time cost. She explained that a Fire District is a separate taxing entity that fire departments choose to participate in. They would report to a board not to cities and it is an allocation of shared training, equipment. She added that Minnesota ranked 48<sup>th</sup> in the country for fire department contributions.

Enlow thanked Mathews for all her service to reviewing the DNR code, and the tree preservation ordinance and all she does for the City. She added that when the ADU moratorium was put in place in August or September of 2021, part of that required a study of DNR code provisions and City Code. Mathews and S. Swanson and Enlow have been reviewing the code and comparing it to DNR provisions, the shoreland model ordinances and 2014 and 2017 City Codes and found many discrepancies and a great deal of confusion. They are compiling of lists of items needing clarification. They've been meeting weekly since January and want to meet with a Planning Commissioner.

Enlow stated that the Tree Preservation Task Force has been meeting weekly. They are finalizing their presentation for the May 3<sup>rd</sup> 7:00 pm Public Information Session. She stated that the community, Planning Commission and City Council is invited to attend.

Enlow stated that the Tree Preservation Ordinance Task Force has as their goal to have residents understand the ordinance. It will go to the May 23<sup>rd</sup> Planning Commission meeting with a public hearing. She hopes that the planning Commission will then make a recommendation for approval by City Council at the June 13, 2022 meeting.

Sharma – He stated that docks, parks, and the recreational vehicle ordinance were covered earlier in meeting. He suggested that staff update the City website and remove items from the site that are older and not pertinent. Sharma stated he has accepted a new position as CFO at Pacific Life in California but plans to work remotely, not move there, and still serve City Council.

Howarth – He stated that he has not yet met been able to meet with the LMCC regarding other internet service providers. The Utilities Commission has not met. He announced that he and Rita are moving to Florida from Minnetonka Beach and closing on their home on May 16, 2022. The May 9<sup>th</sup> council meeting will be his last one. He stated that it has been such a pleasure to serve this community. Lindstrom stated that when Council receives Howarth's resignation then discussion will begin as to how to fill his seat.

Breazeale – She presented a summary of April 5, 2022 Park Commission meeting including that July is National Parks Month so Minnetonka Beach will tie all the summer Civic events into that theme. She stated that the remainder of the Hennepin County Tree Canopy Grant fund will be used for new trees at Lafayette Park and behind City Hall. She added that a member of the Dock Committee and Park Commission met to discuss how they can best work together, and they are working with City Staff to determine how summer Public Works employee Kolton can obtain more hours to help clean to swim beach as Life's A Beach's proposal is over the Park Commission budget for this year. She said Panait it is working on the Soccer/Food Truck events at Ray Peters Park most likely once a month this summer and she hopes these events will be well attended.

**Sharma motion, Enlow second to adjourn the meeting. Roll Call Vote: Breazeale – aye, Enlow – Aye, Howarth – aye, Sharma – aye. All ayes, the motion carried.**

The meeting adjourned at 9:17 pm.

Approved City Council Meeting Minutes

**PUBLIC IN ATTENDANCE** – The following people signed the attendance sheet: Nell Mathews, Julia Sharma, Joe Pagano, Jennifer Halverson, Gianna Colombo, Manuel Pinto, Jeff Breazeale

Respectfully submitted,

---

Jane Burgess, City Clerk